PRODUCT: 133 cartons each containing 1 Roll-A-Ray (device) at Long Island City, N. Y. Examination showed that the device consisted of a brown plastic molded case with handle attached. The case enclosed a light bulb and two rubber rollers placed at either end of the bottom part of the case. The rollers would contact the body for massaging purposes, and the light bulb would furnish heat. A plastic grid was fitted over the bulb to protect the body from contact with the lamp.

Label, IN Part: (Carton) "Roll-A-Ray Heat Massage With Infra Red."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the following label statements were false and misleading since heat and massage are not adequate treatments for such purposes: "For Home Reducing and an Aid in the Relief of Discomforts Arising from Rheumatism, Lumbago, Muscular Aches, Physical Aches \* \* \* for Health and Beauty \* \* \* to remove fatty tissues. Many varied ailments respond to application of heat and massage \* \* \* for loosening muscles and assisting in driving fatty tissues away."

Disposition: December 19, 1949. The Elcord Products Corp., New York, N. Y., claimant, having consented to the entry of a decree, judgment of condemnation was entered and the court ordered that the devices be released under bond for relabeling, under the supervision of the Federal Security Agency. The court further ordered that the devices should be modified by removing the 60-watt bulb contained therein and replacing it with a 30-watt bulb; by placing foil reflectors on the inner portions of the devices; by covering, removing, or destroying the labeling indicating directions and uses borne on the sides of the cartons in which the devices had been packed; and by using a carton cover and labeling approved by the Food and Drug Administration.

2950. Misbranding of Roll-A-Ray (device). U. S. v. 23 Cartons \* \* \*. (F. D. C. No. 26949. Sample No. 10961-K.)

LIBEL FILED: March 24, 1949, District of Connecticut.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 3, 1948, by the Electric Cord Co., from New York, N. Y.

PRODUCT: 23 Cartons each containing 1 Roll-A-Ray (device) at Hartford, Conn. NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the device was misbranded in the same respect as the device reported in the preceding notice of judgment, No. 2949.

DISPOSITION: November 15, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

# INDEX TO NOTICES OF JUDGMENT D. D. N. J. NOS. 2931 TO 2950

#### **PRODUCTS**

Bra'zil Liquid Compound and Bra'zil Powder Compound_ 12944	Dehydrated wild carrot2943 Devices2942, 2949, 2950 Dexedrine sulfate tablets2936 Diabetes, remedies for2943 Douche powder, medicated2947 Estrogenic substances
--	--

<sup>1 (2931-2933, 2944)</sup> Prosecution contested.

MMAN

Issued May 1950

## FEDERAL SECURITY AGENCY

## FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

# NOTICES OF JUDGMENT UNDER THE FEDERAL FOOD, DRUG, AND COSMETIC ACT

[Given pursuant to section 705 of the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act]

2951-2970

### DRUGS AND DEVICES

The cases reported herewith were instituted in the United States district courts by the United States attorneys, acting upon reports submitted by the Federal Security Agency. Published by direction of the Federal Security Administrator.

PAUL B. Dunbar, Commissioner of Food and Drugs. Washington, D. C. May 10, 1950.

#### CONTENTS\*

Page	Page
1991 s actionable because of poten-	Drugs actionable because of devia-
tial danger when used accord-	tion from official or own
ing to directions 576	standards582
Drugs actionable because of failure	Drugs and devices actionable be-
to bear adequate directions or	cause of false and misleading
warning statements 577	claims585
Drugs actionable because of con-	Drugs for human use 585
tamination with filth 582	Drugs for veterinary use 593

<sup>\*</sup>For presence of a habit-forming narcotic without warning statement, see Nos. 2953-2958; omission of, or unsatisfactory, ingredients statements, Nos. 2953-2958, 2962; failure to bear a label containing an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents, Nos. 2953-2958.